

August 30th, 2006
Alan Watt on
"Sweet Liberty" with Jackie Patru

cuttingthroughthematrix.com

alternate sites:

cuttingthroughthematrix.net , .us , .ca

alanwattcuttingthroughthematrix.ca

European site includes all audios & downloadable TRANSCRIPTS in European languages for print up:

alanwattsentientsentinel.eu

mirror site:

cuttingthrough.jenkness.com

Information for purchasing Alan's books, CDs, DVDs and DONATIONS:

Canada and America: PayPal, Cash, personal checks &
for the US, **INTERNATIONAL** postal money orders / for Canada, INTERNAL postal
money orders
(America: Postal Money orders - Stress the **INTERNATIONAL** pink one, not the green
internal one.)

Outside the Americas: PayPal, Cash, Western Union and Money Gram
(Money Gram is cheaper; even cheaper is a Money Gram check - in Canadian dollars:
mail via the postal services worldwide.)

PayPal Orders: USE THE [DONATE](#) BUTTON ON THE WEBSITE - AND -
Send a separate email along with the donation (list your order, name and address)

Click the link below for your location (ordering info):

[USA](#)

[Canada](#)

[Europe/Scandinavian](#)

[All Other Countries](#)

Jackie Patru: Good Evening, ladies and gentlemen. Thank you for joining us tonight on Sweet Liberty. Today is Wednesday, of course, as it is whenever I am here filling in for Darren Weeks, who's the actual host of the Sweet Liberty broadcast now. And today is the 30th of August, in the year 2005 (*actually 2006*). I'm walking around looking for a pencil. Excuse me if I seem a little distracted. Okay, I have my pen. And I want to say thank you again for joining us tonight. I'll start with our spiritual message here. And then we'll bring up our guest, Alan Watt, who's with us this evening, once again. This is from a Course in Miracles from the text on pg. 168. "Nothing beyond yourself can make you fearful or loving, because nothing is beyond you. Nothing beyond yourself can make you fearful or loving, because nothing is beyond you." And Alan Watt, thank you for being with us this evening.

Alan Watt: It's a pleasure, yeah.

Jackie: Yes.

Alan: Yeah, you just gave me a picture of myself in the mirror in the morning.

Jackie: (Laughter) And that makes you fearful?

Alan: Oh, it's what I see. I forget it's me until I see. Who is that? Yeah.

Jackie: Have you ever gone up to a mirror and got real close and looked into your eyes.

Alan: I don't think so.

Jackie: I did it one time. And I don't know what made me do it, but I was there standing at the sink, and I leaned on the sink, and I got real, real close, and I was looking into my eyes, and Alan, it was a very weird feeling. And I said, who are you that I'm looking at. Who are you? Try it sometime.

Alan: It's similar if you're praying. If you look at a mirror, you'll notice that you're talking to yourself.

Jackie: Ah.

Alan: (Chuckle)

Jackie: Well, you know what? That's not so far fetched, is it?

Alan: Yeah, yeah, yeah. In fact, everybody who does it is actually doing that too.

Jackie: Everybody who prays.

Alan: Yeah.

Jackie: Yes. That's what I said. That isn't so farfetched.

Alan: That's right.

Jackie: Given that we, the Kingdom of Heaven is within us. The Kingdom of God is within us. We are one with our Creator. And that makes sense, what you just said.

Alan: Yeah.

Jackie: Is that how you meant it.

Alan: Yeah, I always give two or three meanings in a sentence.

Jackie: Well, give, okay, give us all three meanings, would you please.

Alan: Oh, no.

Jackie: Why, Alan? Why would you say that?

Alan: I'll keep that for another show.

Jackie: No, no. No, no. Why would you?

Alan: Well, if the kingdom is in you, so is the Kingdom of Hell, you know.

Jackie: Well, what is Hell?

Alan: And that's, in other words, it's a decision what kind of life you're going to make it for other people.

Jackie: Sure.

Alan: And people never, ever look at that point of it. They have the ability to create Heaven or Hell, right here. You know. And generally even the ones that think they're creating a heaven, because of the mass man of today, they're creating an actual hell.

Jackie: Well, yes. In other words, the more attached we become to the physical and the material world, the more hellish it becomes, because the further we are in consciousness from our Creator.

Alan: Yeah. It's also an inevitability in this system, that we've chosen, that's been chosen for us, that we go along with a path, it can only lead to where it's going. It can't go anywhere else. Because, I mean, before the Industrial Revolution, even though people were mainly serfs and conquered, etc, there was still the remnants of tribal life, at least for the people. They had histories, oral traditions. They had community. They didn't have technology. With technology or the Industrial Revolution came the era of mass man, the mass man. They stopped talking about individuals and talked about the masses. And today we're on that path of being part of the masses, where bureaucracies are running our whole lives for us. And it's getting worse.

Jackie: When you talk about that, the people, then, before the pre-industrial, there were the elite, there were the filthy rich.

Alan: Oh, yeah.

Jackie: And the more well off. And then there were the people who were not just surviving, but living. I think about, what I pictured in my mind when you said that, are people, as you said, who lived maybe in small villages, and they had their ups and downs. They weren't wealthy. They didn't have, you know, huge homes and all of that. But they had each other and they had family. It meant something to them.

Alan: Yeah. And they did have a rich culture, you know. A rich culture with all its traditions, its folklore, its histories. It was all oral tradition, and it was all passed on. Today we are part of the mass market, you might say. And we're looked upon by the managers as being the masses. So, we're not individuals any more. They don't care about little you or little me with our dreams and hopes and aspirations. We're just so-and-so who got As or A-plusses all through their school. It's what they can do with you. How much they can tax from you. How they can use you. And hopefully you'll die off before you can collect your pension. That's how they see you. And they format it like that too; as coldly as that. You're not a person anymore. You're a psychological creature with instincts and drives, etc, etc, etc.

Jackie: For our listeners who may be new to the broadcast, and haven't heard this or read it, on our website at sweetliberty.org in the children's section, there's an article that says, it's titled "Are Your Children Human Capital? To confirm to the nth degree what you just said, what we are to them. And Human Capital is extremely valuable to them. The woman who wrote the article, Cindy Weatherly, was looking up the word assessment, you know, for assessment tests, and she couldn't find any, any definition in all of her educational material, so she went to the dictionary, and assessment means to assess somebody for their future value.

Alan: That's right. In fact, that all came out with Karl Marx and the gang around him. It wasn't just Karl Marx. He was a front man for a coterie, for a whole bunch of people. And they talked about every man will get rewarded according to his means. So, in other words, even then they categorized you as to your class. Communism was actually a

class, very class conscious. In fact, it was a scientific definition you might say for the first time of creating a new type of class structure, different from the old class structure, and codifying it into law with intelligentsia and scientific and all the workers beneath them. And, so you were to get rewarded according to your means. In other words, if you belonged to a certain class, they would decide how much you should get paid, how much you would need, you see.

Jackie: How much you would need.

Alan: Uh, huh. According to their assessment.

Jackie: But you would be paid according to your class, according to, right, their assessment of your needs. So, in other words, if somebody else was in a position similar to yours, but they were in a higher class, their pay would have been higher.

Alan: Yes.

Jackie: Uh, huh.

Alan: And when you really see that Communism was given by the same banking boys that already controlled the capitalism, they were actually making it a more efficient system for themselves by making this a true system under a science, a supposed science, where they could officially stamp you in a class structure. That's what it was, yeah. So, you'd have to go so far back before money even came across the seas, etc, and throughout Europe, to get a true idea of what real life was, because we don't know what it was anymore. We don't know how people lived naturally at one time. We just don't know any more. We know some things before the Normans came through. The Normans brought the moneyed system and the religious system that backed it. They brought it into Europe, and usury and all the rest of it, the debt system. And even though they brought it in, in the 11th century, into Britain, it had already been known for thousands of years.

Jackie: What did they have before that, Alan?

Alan: Well, the people generally bartered.

Jackie: Okay.

Alan: And the tribes themselves, they grew their own stuff, and they had their own cattle, etc. So, that was a real communism. That was communal living.

Jackie: True, true communal living.

Alan: It wasn't Marxist, it wasn't Leninist, or any of these -ists that came along eventually. It was survival for the whole tribe. And no one went hungry. And they didn't, like the Eskimos, they didn't put the elderly out on an ice float to die, you know.

Jackie: Oh, they didn't put you out on an ice float to die.

Alan: Yeah. But everybody, because the older people were respected for their wisdom. Today in this valued system, this scientifically created valued system, when your production ends, you become just a consumer. And I've talked about before the United Nations has already classified a good citizen of the world to be a producer-consumer. So, when you just become a consumer, you don't, you're a second-class citizen. You're ready for the scrap heap.

Jackie: Yes, we have talked about this before. And I want to mention this to our listeners, who've heard this. You have mentioned this before, that it seems to be, generally, that a person, many person have to hear something six, seven, eight times before it suddenly gels with them.

Alan: Eight times. They've done studies, yeah.

Jackie: Eight times.

Alan: That's taught in mass marketing, apparently now, in university. They know all of this stuff. So, that's just the truth of it. As we race along in this agenda, and it is a plan. It's not just evolving haphazardly. It's always laid out in advance. They know where they're taking us, and they've given us enough authors to verify it, from their own group, that is. They said they would take us to a time when the changes would be so quick, scientific changes and so on, which also create cultural changes, that the people themselves will say, "Stop. We've had enough. We can't keep up with the changes."

Jackie: Really?

Alan: Yeah. H.G. Wells wrote about it.

Jackie: What's going to happen when they say, stop, we can't keep up with the changes?

Alan: Well, then he went off on his own little spin.

Jackie: Yeah, what is the plan?

Alan: Yeah, well, his little spin that they would go off to the stars, basically, the crème-de-la crème, and you'd have to go into his non-fiction books of H.G. Wells, his non-fiction books to realize that they would simply do away with the ones who were useless. And, if you go into Hinduism, which is what's taught today, and has been for donkey's years, under the term, the New Age, which was a Masonic creation, but it's basic Hinduism, when you go from one age to the next age, it's interesting to note that those who are unable to make the leap, must not be allowed through.

Jackie: Through what?

Alan: Through life, into the next age. Yeah.

Jackie: You mean if there's any, any individual that speaks out and doesn't, and isn't.

Alan: Conforming.

Jackie: Okay, conforming. Okay. And not predictable.

Alan: That's basic Theosophy, which is Hinduism. And that's what, again, is taught in the meditation classes at the United Nations, at the mediation room, that Rockefeller goes to.

Jackie: What. This is taught?

Alan: Yeah.

Jackie: Would you. Okay. You say that it's taught. Are they actually saying this in words or is it in code that they're saying it, Alan?

Alan: I would say to you, I would say to you the way they say it.

Jackie: Okay, do.

Alan: Life is like waves. Generations are like waves. And as they go towards the new, or the center, as you might say, from the outside back to the center, then eventually those that were the old waves fail to reach it, and to be new, they cannot be allowed across. That's the sort of way they would couch it. I'm actually being a bit more forward than they would. But their higher initiates eventually get the message. Because, see, Hinduism itself is based on evolution. That's where Darwin's theory came from. He was preaching the Masonic doctrine, which had always been taught in the Mystery Schools, of evolution.

Jackie: Are you saying evolution of the hu-man? Or all the stuff?

Alan: All the stuff about the slime to the water creature to the land, its pure Hinduism.

Jackie: Gotcha.

Alan: Yeah, pure Hinduism.

Jackie: Because, there is a form of evolution, evidently or something Alan, when you look at the change, let's say the animals, let's say way back when the horses were really tiny little things, and today the horses are big. I know there's those little midget horses or whatever, but you know the mountain lions were probably four times as big as the ones today.

Alan: Yeah. But they're different species. You see, there were many, many species of creatures, including horses, all different sizes. It isn't that the small ones got big. It's that the small ones died off.

Jackie: Okay, in other words, in the history that is given us, they, I don't recall them ever showing bones or telling about horses that were really tiny and horses that were the same size today. What I recall is them using like a graph and showing.

Alan: And that's the big lie of evolution. They have nothing to back it with, to be honest. And even Darwin was challenged on that.

Jackie: So, in other words, that was lies by omission. They never showed that there were different species of horses.

Alan: It's only fairly recently that they've started to admit, well, there was different kinds. There was ape-men, etc. They said there was different types of humans and apes and chimpanzees and monkeys all living at the same time, and the other species died off. That's what they're now teaching over the last, oh, quite a few years.

Jackie: Do you, let me ask you this, here, at least your thoughts on it, where they talk about the missing link.

Alan: Oh, yeah.

Jackie: Okay, now, they're not, this missing link isn't between ape and man, but it's

between a form of mankind and another that was more erect and had voice and etc, and that they were living here at the same time. Is that true?

Alan: Yeah. That's what they're starting to admit now, because, the more they uncover, they're finding the skeletons that are just the same as ours, at the same time period as they had the ones that they were claiming. And remember most of the stuff they told us before with Piltdown Man, etc, that was taught as law in schools was all a fraud. It's all exposed now. They used a pig's jaw and stuck it on a gorilla's head. And that was taught as fact. And people got degrees based on thesis, etc, on that nonsense. So, they've been liars from the beginning, because there's a Masonic doctrine behind this, which they must stick to.

Jackie: You know the question then that just blasts into my mind. Where did all these different species come from, Alan?

Alan: Yeah, well that's not so much, where did they come from, where's it going?

Jackie: No, to me, it's where did it come from. If there were several species of man, and there are different colors of man, different nationalities if you would of man, where did they all come from? You know, that's never been explained to me, not in anything I've ever read.

Alan: No, because they can't.

Jackie: Well, what is your, what are your?

Alan: Well, at least they won't.

Jackie: Well, what are your thoughts on it, Alan?

Alan: That's hours and hours and hours of stuff. You often ask me a question and I can't give you a drive through answer.

Jackie: A drive through answer.

Alan: Yeah. It would take so much.

Jackie: Do you know how many times I've asked this question to you on air?

Alan: I know, but to give a proper answer, you'd have to give a whole talk on, more than one talk, in fact, a lot of talks. And that's what I'm going to do on the next series of discs I put out, because it's too much to just.

Jackie: But nobody's there to question you.

Alan: It doesn't matter. The thing is, you're asking a question which I would be failing people if I gave them a drive through answer. You have to educate people up to a state before they can understand what you're about to say, on certain things.

Jackie: Alan, you're talking to a listening audience, many of them that have been listening to you for eight years, minus two.

Alan: Yeah, but you're asking a question, Jackie, that I'd have to go into whole different areas, of archeology, anatomy, a whole bunch of things, and what's been discovered, the debates they've had over the last three centuries and so on, and so on, and so on. It's

not a snappy answer, because, if I did, I might mislead people. I mean, that's a profound question, where did we come from?

Jackie: Well, you do recall me asking this, don't you?

Alan: I know, but the thing is, it's too snappy an answer to do justice to the people.

Jackie: I know, but this isn't a new question in my mind.

Alan: Yeah.

Jackie: And it isn't a new question I posed.

Alan: But the main thing is, what we are doing is looking at where we are going, because we know where we're going.

Jackie: Maybe if we knew where the hell we came from, we'd understand better where we're going?

Alan: Um. Whatever.

Jackie: Okay.

Alan: Whatever. But, the fact is, as I say, in the religion, under many different guises, you can go back to India, you'll find that they talk about ages, just time spans, so that people could understand what time spans they're talking about. They call them ages. So, they sort them into categories of time. And they talk about the stages of man and the types of man that they go through. Now, we also find that in the Greeks, who talk about the different ages of man and stages of man, right up through the Iron Man, and so on, Bronze, Gold, Iron, etc. These are whole religions that you have to take separately at a time. But they all have that in common. They talk about ages.

Jackie: Okay, you know, no, I guess I didn't. I was thinking that, for example, they say, we're moving into the Age of Aquarius, that in that astrological, in order to, that each astrological sign in 20 some hundred years, and so, it takes thirty.

Alan: That's one. You see, that's one version, and Plato also gave it that same definition or time period, of about twenty-five and a half thousand years. And sometimes they'll call the Platonic year, after Plato. And other times they'll call it the Grand Circle of the Zodiac. As opposed to the annual one.

Jackie: Okay. And that isn't what they refer to though when they're talking about ages?

Alan: It's much more. On one level it is. It's to do with the types of society, or the changes society would go through in every age, where a particular constellation dominates for a period of about two thousand odd years, you see. And that's why Jesus was the sign of the Fish.

Jackie: Right, Pisces.

Alan: And he was the fisherman of men. And then we go into the Age of Aquarius, and Aquarius is a new type of, even though it's a water sign, which is female, it's a male. And the reason for it, being, a new type of man is to be created for Aquarius. And when there's no conflict between male and female, then, they'll have completely won the battle of all conflicts.

Jackie: And you're talking about their desire to create a race of hermaphrodites.

Alan: That's right. For slaves, that is. Not for themselves. Because Charles Galton Darwin and others have mentioned that the elite themselves wouldn't change themselves. But they would change the...

Jackie: Oh, they'd remain male and female genders.

Alan: Pardon?

Jackie: Well, like in their class, their elite class, they would still have males and females, not hermaphrodites.

Alan: Yeah, because it's not just a hermaphrodite. It will be, you know, like a couple of guys holding hands. Or whatever they are. It's to be a specially, you'll be bred specifically, like *Brave New World*, like Huxley's *Brave New World*, you will be bred for the task they have designed you for.

Jackie: Decanted.

Alan: That's right. You'll be brought into the world, with that task, and just like an ant or a bee is programmed, it's in its genes, then you would be programmed for the task that you do. And you wouldn't be born unless they had a task for you to fulfill. This is the age of statistics we're living in, where everything is numbered, counted, weighed, balanced, function, etc. This is where it's going.

Jackie: If, they're successful. You know what I was just thinking. Their dream is our nightmare.

Alan: Yeah.

Jackie: But as you've said, many times before, they've gotten very.

(Noise interference)

Jackie: Hello?

Alan: Hello?

Jackie: Yeah.

Alan: Yeah. What's happening?

Jackie: I haven't the foggiest idea. I haven't moved.

Alan: I heard beeps and things.

Jackie: Oh, I heard a funny buzz. What I was going to say is that you have said many times before, they've been very, very close, and as we see, they've never been successful. If they were, we wouldn't be having this conversation.

Alan: That's right.

Jackie: And so, therefore, what they dream about, that would be a nightmare for us, is

illusion for them. It's their own illusion.

Alan: I wouldn't say so. I wouldn't say because it hasn't been successful in the past that it could not be in the future. That truly is up to a different thing altogether.

Jackie: Why? Hell, this is going on for millennia, you said.

Alan: It doesn't matter. That's why, and people have asked me this, why on earth does it take to go from one phase to the next? I mean, look how long it took them to adjust society from, say an agricultural status, before the Industrial Revolution, even longer in the US, they already had industrialized the main cities in Europe, but literally, within a matter of ten years, they'd emptied all the people from the country into the cities to work in their industrial age. And eventually they bring in a form of Socialism. Socialism again is the statistics the weighing, the functions, the book keeping, everybody is tabbed and monitored etc, for a function, a purpose, as part of the mass. And they've been so meticulous and careful, even dishing out the technology they dish out. Everything they give to us is obsolete. The latest, whatever they give us is absolutely obsolete. Do you remember the old comedies, called *Get Smart*?

Jackie: Well, yes. I saw it a couple of times.

Alan: Well, back then, I think they did them in the 60s, but they gave us the reruns in Britain in the 70s. You saw the iris scan in a comedy show. They showed you the palmprint, as you'd get through a door in comedy shows. They showed you watches with the TV. They showed you the cell phones, the spies had in a comedy show. They showed you solid-state circuitry, before we'd even heard the term in comedy shows. Whatever they give us is actually obsolete. And it's not for our benefit; it's for their system to make it more, more secure for themselves. They couldn't even do this without the computer. They couldn't get to where they want to go to, without the computer.

Jackie: How do you know they didn't have computers?

Alan: I didn't say they didn't.

Jackie: Oh, okay. Well, they didn't make it before.

Alan: They gave us the computer to make it easier for them.

Jackie: Say again.

Alan: They gave us the computer to make it easier for them.

Jackie: Okay. But, what I'm saying is in ancient times, the civilizations, at least we've read or been told, that there were very highly advanced civilizations. And you talk about Sumer. Of course, then they had tablets they were writing on, I guess, but maybe before Sumer, Alan, maybe in Atlantis, that there were civilizations that had the technology.

Alan: It's possibly, but they certainly had computers for a long, long time. Yeah. And not the huge bulky things they show us in the old movies.

Jackie: Oh really, the ones with the just little boards.

Alan: And the little tapes and all that in the walls. And you know the first one for the United States military was called CAIN. Cain?

Jackie: Really?

Alan: Yeah.

Jackie: And what does that signify?

Alan: The priest. The priesthood.

Jackie: The priesthood. Oh, shoot. Okay, look. We're going to take about a three-minute break. And we'll pick it up here. CAIN, that computer, the priesthood. Okay. Because CAIN is in the Old Testament is allegedly a person. Okay, we'll be right back, folks, with Alan Watt, stay with us.

(Commercial Break)

Jackie: Okay, we're back. Alan.

Alan: Yes.

Jackie: Okay. You mentioned that the first military computer was called CAIN, Cain. And when I asked you, what does that mean to them, you said, it's the priest, the priesthood.

Alan: Yeah, I think they gave it the term through Computer Assisted Intelligence.

Jackie: Oh, sure, but.

Alan: But yeah.

Jackie: It was their own little cute little code.

Alan: And that relates to the priesthood.

Alan: Well, Cain, again, this allegory of Cain was the one that was born, supposedly, being born of wisdom, intelligence, as opposed to the profane people around. That's what it means, because the whole Masonic structure, you might say it's a form of intellectual racism. It's an elitist structure. And they have plenty of dodos at the bottom themselves, and even Albert Pike said that. The low Masons are no different than the profane themselves. They just use them.

Jackie: He called it the outer portico, didn't he?

Alan: That's right.

Jackie: And they're, it's intended that they will believe that they know what's happening, or that they're given the truth.

Alan: Yeah. And yet, the ones up at the 32nd degree, and it's no big deal getting up to the 32nd degree. Not these days. They just pay their way up, and then they all talk about the profane, the profane, the profane, as though they knew it all. But they know very little, really. Because that's not the high levels either. That's just the bottom.

Jackie: Do 32nd degree Masons look down on others in their own minds?

Alan: Yeah, some of them have told me that. Because they actually do sit and sort of boast about how smart they all are, compared to the rest of them.

Jackie: Smart or knowledgeable?

Alan: Mm, hmm.

Jackie: Do they say smart or knowledgeable?

Alan: Knowledgeable. Perfected, you know. Because, it's called the Rite of Perfection, remember. They believe they've been perfected, because they've learned virtue, yeah.

Jackie: They learned virtue. What are they taught about virtue, Alan?

Alan: Well, according to them, you see, this is the old nobility terminology, and if you ever want to have a good definition of it, you should see the movie called Rob Roy.

Jackie: Rob Roy.

Alan: Yeah.

Jackie: I've got that on tape, and I've never watched it.

Alan: Okay, because, Rob Roy, you find when Rob Roy Macgregor goes to see the Lord of the land. The Lord makes a little quip at him. He says, my goodness, Roy, you'd almost think you were, meaning part of the nobility, like royal blood. But he said, oh, of course, that's impossible. In other words, the defining line was so obvious in those days to them. You were either a member of the commoners or you were a member of the noble blood. So you were either profane or elite, you see, and wise. And of course, only the nobility, they claimed, could have virtue, you see.

Jackie: But what is their definition of virtue? Because I'm thinking of a particular 32nd degree Mason that I knew. Well, I'm going to tell you something, there was no virtue in this individual.

Alan: Yeah, well.

Jackie: So, what is, what are they given as the definition of virtue.

Alan: It is what they call the noble qualities of honor, you might say. Honor and so on. If you look up the virtues, what the virtues are, there are categories of them. And trust worthiness, honor, you honor your word. You don't give it loosely. You follow up what you promise. You also honor your oaths, that's a big thing.

Jackie: And the oaths to a Masonic.

Alan: Uh, huh. So, all of that is to do with their virtue. So, they pay their money, they get drunk an awful lot, and they're told to repeat after me for their degrees and then they forget it all, because they can't remember what they went through in the first place, it goes so fast. So, suddenly they've become enlightened, and suddenly they're very virtuous.

Jackie: Uh, huh.

Alan: But that's the low end of the, because above that you have the Noble Orders you see.

Jackie: When, you remember, well, maybe you don't, I do, the first phone call that we ever had together?

Alan: Yeah.

Jackie: You had called me after a broadcast one evening. And I asked you, because, you know, you started talking, and oh, my God, I found myself pressing the phone up against my ear until it was almost going through my head. And suddenly, I said, excuse me, why are we having this conversation? And when you didn't answer, I said, well, why did you call me? And when you said, well, I've been listening, I see, that, you know, that you've almost got it, you said, but not quite. And when I asked you what not quite was, you said, oh, I couldn't tell you. It's too awful. And when I got like, a little indignant and said, wait a minute, if you've been listening to me, the truth, no matter how awful it is, I want it. And what you said to me, is you said, well, I'll just give you a clue, it's all about the blood. And you didn't go any further. And I don't think I ever asked you actually to explain. And the two things in my mind, what you were alluding to were the bloodlines and the blood sacrifices.

Alan: That's right. Bloodlines, blood sacrifices, a religion based on that. You always find that they have a blood sacrifice before the major wars, or before they want a special event, or even as a token, before they go the next step for a war. Whether it's bringing down towers with people in it, or whatever else. There's always a sacrifice required.

Jackie: Like Pearl Harbor?

Alan: Yeah, always, uh-huh.

Jackie: Well, then the war itself is an ongoing sacrifice of blood.

Alan: The blood that was spilt in wars, I mean literally you're talking about billions of gallons over the 20th century. Incredible, incredible you know. And yet they keep telling us that there's going to be peace. It's interesting that they wrote in the old plan, the old plan called Revelations, that by peace he would destroy many. You see? By peace, he would destroy many. And we're seeing it today, under the auspices. We're heading towards peace with a New World Order, as they slaughter more and more people. You know.

Jackie: I told you that Brian Merchant, the man that I heard later, was the head of the National Security Council. When I asked, he said that the President has the war-making powers; he's the Commander in Chief. And I said, no, only if he's called into the service of the US, and that would be an act of Congress. And only Congress can declare war. And Brian Merchant said to me, however, under international law, peacekeeping is not defined as war. Right? That's it.

Alan: Yeah. That's right. And that was what George Orwell talked about, the use of terminology to completely alter your perception of an event. And so we call them peacekeepers. Now, it looks like a soldier to me, with his grenades in his belt and his big machine gun, and his boots, and all the rest of his insignia. A soldier is a soldier is a soldier, no matter what other title you want to call him. And our perceptions are altered through propaganda, all the time, from the top. All our countries get the same stuff of how they're over there to create peace. Now, the Romans invaded most of Europe,

brought in the money system, even then with them. Luckily, it died out after they left for a while, until the Normans brought it back, but the Romans came in, made everybody use the money system, then they started taxing it back as labor, you see. This is what this is all just based on, is usury, taxation, and labor.

Jackie: And when you talk about the Normans bringing in money, I have to go back to the Old Testament, and the story of Joseph in Egypt, and after the famine came, and the people had given all their grain, to them to store, so they came when the famine came. And he said, okay, you can have it, but you have to buy it. But it said, in that Old Testament, that allegedly was written thousands of years ago, that he said, okay, the people paid for the grain that they had grown, and then they said, Joseph took the money and took it to the pharaoh, and you see that he created a depression, because the money supply dried up. But, they literally said, the next time they came, they said the money failed.

Alan: We'll take your land the next time.

Jackie: I know, but they used the word money then. What were they using for money then, Alan?

Alan: Well, it wasn't. You see, that's an allegorical fable.

Jackie: About what's going on today.

Alan: No, it's meant to conceal a real priesthood that was there for thousands of years before. Because, you see, at one time, all peoples in the Middle East and all peoples, all cultures, in fact, it happened all over Europe, you'd have a granary for the people, because you'd have to get through a winter, especially in Europe, and you had to get through the season, and it was dished out gradually as the winter went on. And the rest was actually given out by the headman, the chief at one point, for your growing, you see. And every person was given a portion, an equal portion to grow. Well, in the Middle East, the priests caught on to the fact that they could give out so much grain at so much percentage on return value. So, we'll give you so much pounds of seed, or whatever, and you bring us so much corn back or whatever else they were growing. And so, they were all using an interest scheme before they used coin. And that began in the Middle East, that scam. And once they got the coin in, and that was about 800BC, that scam really took off. And then they increased the tithing up to ten percent. So, as they gave you so much seed as well, they asked for the same amount back in actual grain plus ten percent. Then it kept going up. This is the oldest dodge in the book. The oldest.

Jackie: But they called it money in the Old Testament.

Alan: They also called it different terms, which is, you see, there's so much allegory that's only explained to very high Freemasons. Even the term seed doesn't necessarily mean people or your offspring.

Jackie: Say again.

Alan: Even the term seed does not necessarily mean your offspring. Even that has double meanings.

Jackie: Well, what would the other meaning be, besides offspring.

Alan: Okay. They used to give sacrifices to say, Moloch, was one name. Some of the

called it Milcum, which in the West they called it Malcolm. And the hands were outstretched, it was a burning fire, it was a brazen fire. You throw much of your yield into that fire. That was putting the seed through the fire, they called it. That was one version of it. And yet, they've also dug up the Phoenician version, where they actually did burn babies, and they've found urns, where those little charred remains are. So, there's always at least two or three versions of the same thing in all of these religions. And every religion has the same inner religion or priesthood within it, at all times.

Jackie: And somebody told me that that meant that these people that were dancing around the fire that it was actually sperm, their seed.

Alan: I don't know.

Jackie: Okay, you never heard that. Okay.

Alan: No. But they certainly had, there's so many allegories buried within religion, because religion was as old as can be, of all kinds. And the con men had to always have understood how to get people to work for them, have used religion to guide and steer, control and profit from the masses. And religion has been the perfect institution up until now. And even now, that's why Gorbachev said, we're creating a new religion for the world, based on Earth Worship. But he doesn't mean bowing down to the Earth. You'll have a bureaucracy, a new priesthood that will make you live according to the terms that they claim is good for the Earth, you see. Which is X amount of children, if any at all. And no doubt, down the road it will be like *Logan's Run*, where the older ones are just killed off.

Jackie: Yes. Or like *The Giver*, in the book, with the children. For our listeners, folks, for those of you who have children, who are in the public government indoctrination centers we once called schools, if you haven't heard of the book, *The Giver*, your child will probably read it, and it would be very, very important for you to get the book. You can get it in the bookstores. It was given a prize, a literary prize. It's a child's version of Nineteen Eighty-Four, only it's so ugly that it's pathetic. And it would be good if you could read that book with your child, to help them through it. Because they, for the most part, they're getting it in the schools. It's called *The Giver*. And there's one particular segment in that book, in this community, the story revolves around, that no two people could have the same name or be alike. When twins were born, the twin that was the lightest got sent elsewhere. And elsewhere, they described the procedure, fifth graders, this is recommended for fifth grade reading. What are they nine years old in the fifth grade, Alan? Where, in the book, the Caretaker, the Nurturer, in the Nursery, took the baby that was going to be sent elsewhere, and very descriptively in the book, went to the cupboard and got a needle, which the young boy who was watching thought he was going to get "vaccinated", he stuck the needle in the soft spot of the baby's head and the baby quivered and went limp, he was dead, and he was thrown down a garbage shoot. I'm sorry to draw us away from that Alan, but that came to my mind. And it's really important for our listeners who have children to understand that their children are being fed all of this to inure them to, what is another word I'm trying to.

Alan: Desensitize.

Jackie: Thank you, desensitize them.

Alan: And the same with all the movies being brought up, and all the video games, they've been brought up with, where you just go, kill, kill, kill to the end of the game, and that's all it's about really. So, sure. Our mind, you might say, our culture, our thoughts, are given to us, trained into us, scientifically, as Bertrand Russell talked

about. And he should know, since he helped design it. And they've done it. They've been very, very successful. You can see it today. Maurice Strong, at the last Earth Summit that he had, and he only read the Summit, it was made up by Rockefeller. That's who wrote it up. Maurice Strong...

Jackie: You know for sure that Rockefeller wrote it.

Alan: Yeah. And Maurice Strong said, we have to destroy what's left of the last vestiges of the family unite. That's the only thing standing in the way of government, which will be then confronting the individual, straight to the individual, nobody in between. Government to individual. You won't have any friends to stand up for you, your family to stand up or help you. If you notice, that was actually done at the Louisiana when the hurricane came in. They didn't allow people to go and help their neighbors. Only the government was allowed to do that. So, if your neighbor was on a roof, and they fell in and they were drowning, you weren't allowed to go in and help them.

Jackie: There was a man from Florida, I believe, and he might have been a National Guard. He rescued some people. And they were going to, I don't know, whatever fine or punishment that he was going to get, because he stepped out of his designated role. He wasn't there to do that. He was there to keep, you know, the peace, so to speak.

Alan: Follow the rules.

Jackie: This was in a newspaper article. He was called down for rescuing, saving, the lives of a couple of people.

Alan: For being human, he was going to be punished.

Jackie: For being human, he was going to be punished.

Alan: They don't want human emotions, because that can work two ways. People can stand up for people if they're being picked on or persecuted. But when there's no one to stand by you, especially any family, then it's government straight to you. That's what happened in the Soviet system, where they used to come in. And they would call the whole street out, you know, under their laws, when they were going to arrest somebody in an apartment.

Jackie: And let people see the arrest.

Alan: Let them see it. And then they would. Solzhenitsyn talked about it. He says, we should never have allowed this to begin. He says, when they first came, these two or three men with their pistols and so on, he says, we should have grabbed axes and killed them on the spot. He said, because once it starts, he says, you become used to it so quickly you want to just turn away.

Jackie: You're only glad it wasn't you.

Alan: That's right.

Jackie: That's what they do.

Alan: And that's, that's when you're going into a state, and abusive state, of dejection. That's where you become, you feel worthless, hopeless. It's meant to make you feel hopeless as well, and to make you completely comply with every ridiculous law that comes along.

Jackie: I want to go back to what you said about this man being punished because he was being human. The thought that occurred to me is that this is where the choices come in every single time. You know, we talk about this a lot. The choices that we make. Every choice that we make, every moment of every day, makes all the difference in the world. And somebody who would say, oh, I'd like to save those people, but geez, I'm going to get in trouble. They're lost, aren't they Alan? They're already lost souls.

Alan: They're lost. There's also the technique, which they wrote about in the 1800s, that they would create, in the time of the mass man, where everyone was dependent on money to survive and a job, especially with government or armies or police forces, you would hear the term, I was just doing my job. How many times has that been said? I was just doing my job.

Jackie: Or somebody who stays in a job that they know is.

Alan: Wrong.

Jackie: Very wrong. And they say, well, I have a family to feed. Many times, the people who stay in that job to "feed their family", basically what's behind that is all the toys and whistles.

Alan: They think its security, yeah.

Jackie: Well, that they want, and they have to have this "high-paying job" or this level of income, in order to live the way they want.

Alan: And therefore they can rationalize anything they do.

Jackie: Yes. And you know what it does come down to, is that they're no longer living, they're just surviving.

Alan: Yeah, and that's what I mean, we talked about once before that people kind of sense, they kind of sense they can give up spirit, you can give it up, you know. Because there are decisions you make all through your life that go on beyond just this. And when you decide to profit at someone else's expense and towards their dismay and they're discomfort or their death, even, and use that excuse, oh, I was just doing my job. We'll you've just cut yourself off from everything else from then on. In fact, you don't even qualify as a human any more. You're a robot.

Jackie: Before we end this broadcast, I want you to tell our listeners about the DVD that you have ready to go now. It has been ready. And there was a...

Alan: A glitch.

Jackie: Thank you. Yeah, in the formatting. I received my disc, my DVD, and it works beautifully. And my sis and I sat and watched it. Very, very interesting, Alan. Well, once again, and you know, I'm not saying this, just say, oh, well, my sis isn't aware or anything, but you were in some very, extremely heavy conversation, and I thought, well, maybe she wouldn't be interested in this. She was totally engrossed in the whole thing. Not that I, because my sis and I, of course, we've talked about a lot of things, so she's not unaware, but she's never heard the conversations, these types of conversations that you got into, in the DVD, and laid it out, and she found it extremely fascinating and enlightening to coin a phrase.

Alan: Okay.

Jackie: And, so tell our listeners about it.

Alan: Yeah, it's different from the usual. I don't go into just all of the details of what's happening to you today, and what's going to be done to you tomorrow. I go into a much deeper philosophy, to show you where it's come from down through the ages, up to the present time. And it's meant for the thinkers, not the reactionaries. You think before you do any reaction, hopefully. And this goes much deeper, in a sense, into the occult as well, and the religion behind all of this, which is in all religions at the top. And it takes you where they want us to go. So, it's, and it's different. It's not boring. It's not a boring, it's broken up with music and stuff, so, it's, and the music matches the topics, so, enjoy it too.

Jackie: Thank you. Okay. How can they get it?

Alan: Look into the website, it's cuttingthroughthetmatrix.com. And you'll see it listed there. And these work well, these discs.

Jackie: And it's titled, Reality Check Part 2.

Alan: Wisdom, Esoterica, and Time.

Jackie: Okay, and the address is there, and how to order it, making sure that it's an international postal money order etc.

Alan: It's the first in the Esoteric line. And it's worthwhile watching. This type of thing hasn't really been done in this type of way before.

Jackie: Yeah, and there were some of the, oh, what am I trying to say, not the Masonic symbols but the words that you gave us the meanings of. And I still didn't understand it. And I'd like to make a note of it and ask you, for me, and maybe any other listener who didn't quite get that. Because, some of this goes over my head, Alan.

Alan: Well, do it off the air, because it will spoil it for the ones who haven't seen it yet.

Jackie: Oh, okay.

Alan: Yeah.

Jackie: Okay. Well, I'll have to watch it again and write it down.

Alan: Yeah, oh, you'll get it. Yeah.

Jackie: Okay. Well, we are out of our hour. And we'll be back next Wednesday night, folks. And do be sure to tune in to Sweet Liberty, Monday and Tuesday for Darren Weeks. Darren has an excellent broadcast, steeped in documentation, and his own commentaries. And Darren is the Webmaster extraordinaire of the sweetliberty.org website. We'll see you later. Thank you.

Alan's Materials Available for Purchase and Ordering Information:

BOOKS "Cutting Through" & "Waiting for the Miracle....."

Volumes 1, 2, 3

Also available in Spanish or Portuguese translation:
"Esperando el Milagro....." ([Español](#)) & "Esperando
um Milagre....." ([Português](#))

Ancient Religions
and History MP3

CDs

CDs:

Part 1 (1998) and

Part 2 (1998-2000)

& Blurbs and 'Cutting Through the Matrix'
Shows on MP3 CDs (Up to 50 Hours per Disc)

DVDs

"Reality Check Part 1"
Esoterica and ...TIME"

& "Reality Check Part 2 - Wisdom,